CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.20109 OF 2006

. . .

PRESENT:- Mr.DK Kaushal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.MS Bedi, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr.Salil Sagar, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

. . .

Learned State counsel has pointed out that the pension payment order, sanctioned to the petitioner, has been passed on 21-5-2007 alongwith the relief of commutation of pension. However, counsel for respondents No.1 and 2 states that the amount of provident fund shall be paid within three days alongwith other retiral benefits, if any.

List again on 31-05-2007.

To be shown in the urgent list.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.1162 OF 2007

. . .

PRESENT:- Mr.Jatin Salwan, Advocate.

Mr.RN Singla, Advocate.

. . .

On written request made by the learned counsel for the respondent with no objection from other side, hearing of the case is deferred to 30-10-2007.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.1245 OF 2007

. . .

PRESENT:- Mr.Manish Kumar Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Salil Sagar, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

. . .

Learned counsel for the respondents seeks time to file reply. Let the same be filed a week before the adjourned date with a copy in advance to the counsel opposite.

List again on 30-10-2007.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.19022 OF 2006

. . .

PRESENT:- Mr.Somesh Gupta, Advocate for
Mr.Dharam Pal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Vikas Chatrath, Advocate
for the respondents.

. . .

Learned counsel for the non-applicant/respondents seeks time to verify as to whether petitioners No.3 and 4 have passed the computer test or not.

List alongwith CWP No.18686 of 2006 on 11-09-2007.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.4189 OF 2007

. . .

PRESENT:- Mr.Sumesh Gupta, Advocate for
Mr.Dharam Pal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Vikas Chatrath, Advocate
for the respondents.

. . .

Learned counsel for the non-applicant/respondents seeks time to verify as to whether petitioners No.3 and 4 have passed the computer test or not.

List alongwith CWP No.18686 of 2006 on 11-09-2007.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

C.M.No.9221 of 2007 and
CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.18686 OF 2006

. . .

PRESENT:- Mr.HS Dhandi, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr.Vikas Chatrath, Advocate
for the respondents.

. . .

C.M. is allowed.

Annexures P4 and P5 are taken on record. A copy of the same has also been handed over to the counsel for the non-applicant-respondents, who seeks time to verify as to whether petitioners No.3 and 4 have passed the computer test or not.

List again on 11-09-2007.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.18169 OF 2006

. . .

PRESENT:- Mr.GS Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Vikas Chatrath, Advocate
for the respondents.

. . .

Learned counsel for the respondents seeks time to file reply. Let the same be filed a week before the adjourned date with a copy in advance to the counsel opposite.

List again on 29-10-2007.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.15808 OF 2006

. . .

PRESENT:- None.

. . .

On written request made by the counsel for the respondents with no objection from the other side, hearing of the case is deferred to 24-09-2007.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.14386 OF 2006

. . .

PRESENT:- Mr.Gurminder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Amol Rattan Singh, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr.RS Rawat, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

. . .

A copy of reply filed by respondent No.4 has been handed over to the counsel for the petitioner and the same shall be filed in the office within two days.

To come up for arguments on 29-10-2007.

Reply by the other respondents may also be filed a week before the adjourned date with copy in advance to the counsel opposite.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.14267 OF 2006

. . .

PRESENT:- Mr.Rohit Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Salil Sagar, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Ms.Promila Nain, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.

. . .

Learned State counsel requests for a short adjournment to address arguments.

List on 16-08-2007.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.13951 OF 2006

. . .

PRESENT:- Mr.Vikas Chatrath, Advocate for
Mr.RD Bawa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Salil Sagar, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

None for respondent No.5.

. . .

A request for adjournment on behalf of the learned counsel for the petitioner has been made, which is not opposed by other side.

No one has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.5.

List again on 24-09-2007.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.11793 OF 2006

. . .

PRESENT:- Mr.TP Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Amol Rattan Singh, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

. . .

Heard.

Admitted.

To be listed for hearing within one year.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS NO.7061 OF 2007 and
CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.11445 OF 2006

. . .

PRESENT:- Mr.RK Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.RS Cheema, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 3.

. . .

C.M.No.7061 of 2007

C.M. is allowed.

Rejoinder to the replication is taken on record.

CWP No.11445 of 2006

Heard.

Admitted.

To be listed for hearing within one year.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.7233 OF 2006

. . .

PRESENT:- Mr.DS Rawat, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Vipul Jindal, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Mr.Amol Rattan Singh, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

. . .

Learned counsel for the respondent states that the controversy raised in this writ petition is pending consideration in this Court in CWP No.8774 of 2004 and many other writ petitions.

Heard.

Admitted.

To be listed with CWP No.8774 of 2004.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.6121 OF 2006

. . .

PRESENT:- Mr.Sharwan Sehgal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Vikas Chatrath, Advocate
for the respondents.

. . .

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he has misplaced his brief and requests for a short adjournment.

List on 02-08-2007.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.5354 OF 2006

. . .

PRESENT:- Mr.Rakesh Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Raghbir Singh, Advocate
for the respondent.

. . .

On request of the learned counsel for the respondent, adjourned to 09-08-2007.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.20344 OF 2005

. . .

PRESENT:- Mr.Aman Bahri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Amol Rattan Singh, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr.Amit Singh, Advocate
for the respondents.

. . .

Admitted.

To be heard alongwith CWP No.15612 of 2004.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.16946 OF 2005

. . .

PRESENT:- Mr.HS Dhandi, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr.Rajesh Hooda, Advocate for
Mr. Ajay Tewari, Advocate
for the respondents.

. . .

Learned counsel appearing for the respondents states that the arguing counsel is in some personal difficulty and prays for an adjournment.

List on 30-10-2007.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.7463 OF 2003

. . .

PRESENT:- Mr.Ajit Sihag, Advocate for
Mr.Sanjeev Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Amol Rattan Singh,Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

. . .

Process fee has not been filed. Let the needful now be done within two days and, thereafter, fresh notices to unserved respondents be issued for 30-10-2007.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

C.M.No.9243 of 2007 and
CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.5927 OF 2005

. . .

PRESENT:- Mr.GS Sandhu, Advocate
for the applicant.

Mr.Amol Rattan Singh, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

. . .

Notice of the application.

Mr.Naresh Jain, Advocate for the non-applicant/petitioner accepts notice.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, application is allowed. The applicant is directed to join as respondent No.4.

Learned counsel for the applicant requests for some time to file reply. Let the same be filed a week before the adjourned date with copy in advance to the counsel opposite.

List again on 30-10-2007 for arguments.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.5613 OF 2005

. . .

PRESENT:- None.

. . .

On written request made by the counsel for the petitioner, hearing is deferred to 29-10-2007.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.4797 OF 2005

. . .

PRESENT:- Mr.Sandeep Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Ajay Nara, Advocate
for the respondents.

. . .

A request on behalf of the learned counsel for the petitioner has been made for adjournment, which is not opposed by other side, accordingly, hearing of the case is deferred to 29-10-2007.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.4943 OF 2005

. . .

PRESENT:- Mr.Sandeep Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Ajay Nara, Advocate
for the respondents.

. . .

To come up alongwith CWP No.4797 of 2005 on 29-10-2007.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.3497 OF 2005

. . .

PRESENT:- None.

. . .

On written request made by the learned counsel for the petitioner with no objection from other side, adjourned to 29-10-2007.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.2343 OF 2005

. . .

PRESENT:- None.

. . .

On written request made by the learned counsel for the petitioner with no objection from other side, hearing is deferred to 29-10-2007.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.2116 OF 2005

. . .

PRESENT:- None.

. . .

On written request made by the learned counsel for the petitioner with no objection from other side, hearing is deferred to 29-10-2007.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.1972 OF 2005

. . .

PRESENT:- Mr.Yoginder Nagpal, Advocate for the petitioner.

. . .

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for an adjournment on the ground that the arguing counsel is unwell.

List on 29-10-2007.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.1576 OF 2005

. . .

PRESENT:- Mr.Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Amol Rattan Singh, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr.JS Jaidka, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

. . .

A copy of the reply has been handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

List for arguments on 29-10-2007.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

COCP NO.330 OF 2004

. . .

PRESENT:- Mr.Alok Jain, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr.DS Patwalia, Advocate.

Mr.RN Raina, Advocate.

Mr.Amol Rattan Singh, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

. . .

To be heard alongwith CWP No.20079 of 2004.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

CWP NO.20079 OF 2004

. . .

PRESENT:- Mr.Alok Jain, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr.DS Patwalia, Advocate.

Mr.RN Raina, Advocate.

Mr.Amol Rattan Singh, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

. . .

Heard.

Admitted.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.17965 OF 2004

. . .

PRESENT:- Mr.Ajay Pal Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Amol Rattan Singh, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr.Amit Singh, Advocate
for the respondent.

. . .

Heard.

Admitted.

To be listed alongwith CWP No.15612 of 2004.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.16533 OF 2004

. . .

PRESENT:- Mr.SK Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Deepak Sibal, Advocate
for the respondents.

. . .

On request made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, hearing of the case is deferred to 06-07-2007.

(**M M KUMAR**)
JUDGE

(**RAJESH BINDAL**)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO.8549 OF 2005
DATE OF DECISION: 28-05-2007

Lachhman Singh

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

Managing Director, PRTC & others

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- **HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M M KUMAR**
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL

PRESENT: Mr.RS Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JUDGMENT

M M KUMAR, J.

There are disputed questions which cannot be determined in writ jurisdiction. The petitioner may avail the remedy of civil suit, if so advised.

Dismissed.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO.2344 OF 2005
DATE OF DECISION: 28-05-2007

Satish Kumar

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

Managing Director, PRTC & others

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- **HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M M KUMAR**
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL

PRESENT: Mr.RS Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.HNS Gill, Advocate
for the respondents.

JUDGMENT

M M KUMAR, J.

There are disputed questions which cannot be determined in writ jurisdiction. The petitioner may avail the remedy of civil suit, if so advised.

Dismissed.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO.18038 OF 2006
DATE OF DECISION: 28-05-2007

Ranvir Partap Asija

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- **HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M M KUMAR**
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL

PRESENT: Mr.Sandeep Jasuja, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Raghu Partap Singh Sarai, Advocate for
Mr.Arun Palli, Senior Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

JUDGMENT

M M KUMAR, J.

As per preliminary objection No.1 of the written statement, the petitioner has now been dismissed from service after completion of regular departmental enquiry. Accordingly, it has been prayed that the instant petition challenging the order of suspension has been rendered infructuous and the same be dismissed as such. Learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 4 has further pointed out that the petitioner may challenge the order of dismissal in accordance with the rules at any available forum.

In view of the above, the writ petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous. The petitioner, however, shall be at liberty to challenge the order of his dismissal in accordance with law.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt


liberty to challenge the order of his dismissal in accordance with law.
HP Device Alert
Load Paper
hp LaserJet 1160
OK

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.2551 OF 2006

. . .

PRESENT:- None for the petitioner.

Mr.HNS Gill, Advocate
for the respondent.

. . .

Learned counsel for the respondent states that negotiations of respondent No.1 are going on with FCI for making good the loss and, thereafter, the recovery, which is sought to be made from the petitioner cannot be finalized.

To come up on 09-08-2007.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.1886 OF 2006

. . .

PRESENT:- Mr.Rakesh Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.HNS Gill, Advocate
for the respondent.

. . .

Learned counsel for the respondent states that negotiations of respondent No.1 are going on with FCI for making good the loss and, thereafter, the recovery, which is sought to be made from the petitioner cannot be finalized.

To come up on 09-08-2007.

(M M KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2007
jt